

MICHAEL J. HENRY

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

579 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION/LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 (213) 974-2406 FAX (213) 621-0387

ASSISTANT DIRECTORS
EDWARD BARRIOS
BEVERLY A. CAMPBELL

July 23, 1998

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

MANAGEMENT APPOINTMENTS (3 -VOTES)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

 Approve the recommended policy on managerial salaries, promotions and demotions including a process and criteria for obtaining Board approval to appoint into management positions as outlined on the Attachment.

PURPOSE OF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

On May 19, 1998, your Board instructed the Director of Personnel to convene a task force consisting of the Chief Administrative Officer, Auditor-Controller, Chair of the Economy and Efficiency Commission, representatives of each Board office and other interested department heads to develop a formal and specific policy for managerial salaries and promotions above \$85,000, request that the task force conduct an extensive review of policies in other jurisdictions and obtain input from department heads and submit a report within 30 days for review and adoption. Also to be included in the task force report on managerial salaries and promotions, consideration of a policy that salary increases remain with the given managerial position and not follow a person if they are reassigned.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors July 23, 1998 Page 2

JUSTIFICATION:

The task force has developed a policy that provides a consistent standard for all departments to follow when setting a management salary upon appointment. Included with the policy is a process and criteria for obtaining Board approval to hire or promote into management positions. With the MAP Plan as the foundation, the recommended policy fine tunes and restricts certain compensation practices for management appointments while providing department heads the authority to promote staff and fill vacancies where necessary.

Economy And Efficiency Commission Recommendation:

The Chair, Economy and Efficiency Commission supports the recommendations contained in this letter and has concluded the new policy provides department heads with appropriate authority to manage appointments of managers within their budgets.

EACTS AND PROVISIONS:

The policy can be summarized as follows and is described in more detail, including the process and criteria, on the Attachment. All provisions of the MAP Plan continue to apply.

- Increase the current \$85,000 salary threshold for Board approval to \$95,000 and provide for future indexing with Board of Supervisors approval at the time of general salary adjustments for non-represented employees.
- All promotions over \$95,000 will continue to require Board approval, except for those below the control point of the Salary Range or the minimum promotional increase of 5.5% (2.5% within A-Ranges for attorney classes).

002060

The Honorable Board of Supervisors July 23, 1998
Page 3

- Provides department head authority for granting promotional increases up to and including 10% where the final salary is below the core of point of the new salary range.
- All new hire appointments over \$95,000 and those within the 4th quartile of the Salary Range require Board approval.
- Appointments to unclassified positions by Elected Officials are exempt from Board approval requirements except where required by County Code MAP provisions.

As requested by your Board, the task force also developed and recommends a policy on "voluntary" demotions of MAP participants and demotions of unclassified employees who have the right to return to the classified service. The recommendation provides a consistent policy to be used in every County department and ensures that when an individual returns to a lower-level position for reasons other than performance that the salary is reduced to no more than what the salary would have been if the promotion had not occurred. The policy can be implemented with no changes to the County Code and is described in detail on the Attachment.

In accordance with the Board order, the task force reviewed other jurisdictions' policies on appointments into management positions and determined that no surveyed agency has governing body review of appointments at \$85,000 or any other dollar amount. However, several agencies require governing body approval at some point in the Salary Range above an entrance rate or at some higher than standard percentage salary increase.

EISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact as a result of the policy recommendations contained herein.

SYN#102 OF AUG U 4 1390

002061

The Honorable Board of Supervisors July 23, 1998 Page 4

FINANCING:

Since there is no fiscal impact as a result of the recommendations contained herein, there are no financing issues.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES.

The recommended policy will streamline internal County procedures for management appointments and will have no impact on current services provided to the public.

Respectfully submitted.

MCHAEL J. HENRY Director of Personnel

MJH:JS MWH:sr

Attachment

Chief Administrative Officer
County Counsel
Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
Chair, Economy and Efficiency Commission
Auditor-Controller
Each Department Head

r mgmtapp.85

OF AUG 0 : 1998

002062

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS OF TASK FORCE ON MANAGERIAL SALARIES

The objective of this policy is to ensure that department heads have the ability to hire and promote highly qualified employees into management positions consistent with sound fiscal management and the salary policies applicable to the majority of County employees.

These general guidelines will be followed for all management appointments including those which require Board or CAO approval and those which are at the discretion of the department head. Any requests for exceptions to this policy must be fully justified and include a clear statement of unusual circumstances which make this particular request significantly different from the usual promotion or new hire.

Requests for Board and/or CAO approval may only be submitted for vacant and budgeted positions and should only be submitted to the CAO far enough in advance of the anticipated effective date to ensure that there will be no retroactive appointments.

THRESHOLD FOR BOARD APPROVAL ON SALARIES OVER \$85,000:

- -- Increase the current \$85,000 salary threshold to \$95,000.
- -- When Board approval is required. Board approved standards must be met.
- Appointments to unclassified positions by Elected Officials are exempt from requiring Board approval except where required by County Code MAP provisions.

POLICY FOR PROMOTION OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES

- 1. Promotional salary should reflect the norn al required promotional increase of 5.5% or the minimum of the range, whichever is more. Greater increases must be justified and documented to include:
 - Equity with other managers salaries at the same level of responsibility and seniority in the position. The salary of newly promoted managers should not exceed the salary of existing managers at the same level and should be less than those with more experience. The salary should also be consistent with salaries identified on documented external salary survey data.

2. 有技术以后来是正常生态的状态的文学是全种的概念,是是是一种"可能是这种的对象,是是这种的,是是一种的,也是一种的一种,可能是一种的一种,可能是一种的一种,

- Consideration of experience gained in an acting capacity at the higher level may justify a higher salary but should be commensurate with the salary and experience level of other managers. The County Code requires that MAP participants acting at a higher level shall be paid a salary 5.5% more during the term of the assignment after the position is vacant for 30 calendar days. This additional compensation continues until the acting capacity is terminated or until the employee is promoted.
- Salary should reflect appropriate differentials of 5.5% (2.5% for attorney classes within A-Ranges) when compared to immediate superiors and subordinates.
- 2. As a general rule, promotions within MAP will reflect a one salary range increase. Promotions greater than one range should be fully explained and justified. When a manager is being promoted more than one salary range, the new salary may reflect more than the minimum increase considering the increase in level of responsibility as long as internal equity is maintained.

Board Approval Required:

- Salary increases that are greater than 10% and do not exceed the Control Point of the new Salary Range.
- Any appointment above the Control Point of the new Salary Range, except for the minimum increase of 5.5% (2.5% for attorney classes within A-Ranges) as required by the County Code MAP provisions.
- All appointments above the Control Point of the new Salary Range that are over \$95,000, except for the minimum increase of 5.5% (2.5% for attorneys within A-Ranges) or minimum of the Salary Range.

Board Approval Not Required:

- -- Minimum promotional increase of 5.5% (2.5% for attorney classes within A-Ranges) anywhere in the Salary Range or any increase to the minimum of the Salary Range as required by the MAP Code provision.
- Salary increase of 10% or less, provided that the salary rate does not exceed the Control Point of the new Salary Range.
- Appointments to unclassified positions by Elected Officials are exempt from requiring Board approval except where required by County Code MAP provisions.

POLICY FOR NEW HIRES FROM OUTSIDE COUNTY:

1. As a general rule, **new** hires should be brought in below the Control Point of the salary range.

-2-

- 2. Salary and benefits should reflect an increase only when County position is more responsible or higher level than previous position.
- 3. Salary should reflect equity for the new appointee considering other managers at the same level of responsibility within the department, their length of service and relative performance. As a general guideline, newly hired employees should not be brought in at salary levels which exceed the salaries of existing employees with similar levels of experience and performance expectations. However, in limited situations, where special or unique skills are required, the salary may reflect the impact of external job market forces which require a higher salary based on documented external salary survey data.

Board Approval Required:

- Appointments over \$95,000.
- Appointments within the 4th quartile of the Salary Range as provided in the MAP Plan. (CAO approval required for 3rd quartile).

Board Approval Not Required:

- -- Appointments under \$95,000 and less than the 4th quartile of the Salary Range.
- Appointments to unclassified positions by Elected Officials are exempt from requiring Board approval except where required by County Code MAP provisions.

POLICY FOR DEMOTION OF MANAGERS

This policy covers "voluntary" demotions and demotions of unclassified employees who have the right to return to the classified service.

- Managers demoted for other than disciplinary reasons should be placed at a salary
 in the lower level position which they would have achieved had they never been
 promoted--including any applicable salary increases approved by the Board, where
 applicable to the lower level position.
- Managers demoted for disciplinary reasons should be placed at a salary in the lower level position consistent with their level of performance. This reduced salary may be less than the salary previously held in the lower level position at the discretion of the department head.

County Code Section 6.08.350 (A) provides that MAP participants who take a voluntary demotion shall be placed at any salary in the lower range not to exceed the Range maximum or the current salary, whichever is less. The following policy shall govern such salary placements and may result in a salary lower than the maximum permitted by the County Code:

- -- MAP employees demoted to a lower level MAP position shall be placed at a salary no higher than the salary which the participant would have achieved had he/she not been promoted to the higher level position. This salary shall include the former actual salary:
 - -- Plus Board approved general salary adjustment
 - Plus Board approved Management Salary Adjustments based on actual performance ratings
 - -- The resulting salary cannot exceed the limit of 6.08.350 (A) above

This policy can be implemented with no changes to the County Code.

- MAP employees demoted to a class compensated under the Step Pay Plan shall be entitled to the highest existing step of the lower level position which does not exceed his/her salary prior to the demotion (County Code Section 6.08.110 (B)). No change recommended to current Code provision.
- -- MAP employees demoted prior to completion of the probationary period shall be returned to the salary he/she held prior to the promotion as though the person had never occupied the higher level position. (Code Section 6.08.350 (B)). No change recommended to current Code provision.

h:att.85

Examples of Task Force Recommendation On Managerial Salaries: Where Board Approval Is/Is Not Required

Transaction	% Increase	New Salary		Is Board
		Control Point	\$95,000	Approval Required?
Promotion	5.5*	At or Below	Below	No
Promotion	5.5*	At or Below	Above	No
Promotion	5. 5 *	Above	Above	No
Promotion	5 .5 *	Above	Below	No
Promotion	10.0	At or Below	Below	No
Promotion	10.0	At or Below	Above	No
Promotion	10.0	Above	Above	Yes
Promotion	10.0	Above	Below	Yes
Promotion	12.0	(N.A. all promotions above 10.0% require Board Approval)		Yes
New Hire	N.A.	At or Below	Below	No
New Hire	N.A.	At or Below	Above	Yes
New Hires	N.A.	Above	Below	No**
New Hires	N. A.	Above	Above	Yes

^{*}Or 2.5% for Attorney classes within A-Ranges or minimum of Salary Range.

MWH:ck 7/2 /98

h:\chart.ms

- 5 -

SYN# 1 0 2 OF AUG 0 4 1998

^{**}Will require CAO and/or Board approval if new salary is within third or fourth quartile per County Code provisions of MAP.

PROCESS AND CRITERIA FOR OBTAINING BUARD APPROVAL TO HIRE/PROMOTE INTO MANAGEMENT POSITIONS

- Departments prepare their requests for Board approval and submit them to the Chief Administrative Office for review and recommendation to the Board.* Approval requests may be submitted for vacant and budgeted positions and should only be submitted to the CAO far enough in advance of the anticipated effective dates to ensure that there will be no retroactive appointments. Each request must identify if the action is for a promotion or new hire and include:
 - -- Certification that the position is vacant and budgeted. Indicate how long the position has existed in the department.
 - -- Attachment of an organization chart highlighting the position. Describe where the position fits into the management organization structure of the department and span of control.
 - -- Indication of the current salary of the individual for whom the request is being submitted. Indicate the proposed salary and the percentage increase over the current salary. Provide additional justification:
 - 1. For promotions, if the salary increase is greater than 10% and below the control point of the new salary range, provide justification to support the increase.
 - 2. For promotions, any appointment above the control point of the new salary range, except for the minimum increase of 5.5% (2.5% for attorney classes).
 - 3. For promotions, any appointment over \$95,000, except for the minimum increase of 5.5% (2.5% for attorney classes).
 - 4. New hires over \$95,000 or 4th quartile of the salary range.
 - -- For promotions, if the individual has been performing this function, indicate how long this has been occurring. The County Code requires that MAP participants acting at a higher level shall be paid 5.5% more during the term of the assignment after the position is vacant for 30 calendar days.
 - -- For promotions, identify the number of salary ranges the individual is moving.
 - -- Provide external salary survey information, if available. Identify the cost of hiring from outside County service for the position

^{*} Appointments by Elected Officials may be exempt from this process as determined by the Board of Supervisors

- -- Provide a listing of all equivalent internal positions within the organization including name, classification, salary range, salary, and appointment date. Describe how this position relates to other positions within the organizational structure including comparability of duties and responsibilities. Internal comparisons will be given greater consideration than external comparisons.
 - -- Identify by name, classification, salary range and salary all management positions in the department above the position being requested.
 - -- Identify by name, classification, salary range and salary the highest paid subordinate reporting to this position.
- CAO reviews each request. If the CAO concurs with the department, the request will be forwarded to the Board.
- III. The Board will be **pro**vided two weeks for review and comment back to the CAO. If after two weeks, **there** is no Board comment, the CAO will authorize departments to proceed with the **hi**ring/promotion. If one or more Board offices disagree with the request, it will require action by the Board and the department may request the action be placed **on** the Board agenda or may withdraw the request for hiring/promotion.
- IV. The performance expectations of newly promoted should be the same as all other equivalent positions.

h.mgmtpos

. 7 -

HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLES FOR OBTAINING BOARD APPROVAL TO HIRE/PROMOTE INTO MANAGEMENT POSITIONS

Example #1

Employee A is promoted from an R10 to R11. The department submits a request for Board approval of a promotional salary increase greater than 5.5% above the control point of the new salary range. Employee A's current salary is \$74,981 (Control point of R10). Employee A has been performing the duties of the R11 position for over one year. An 8% salary adjustment is proposed increasing the salary to \$80,979 which is \$373 over the control point of the new salary range. The highest paid subordinate's salary is \$78,812 or 2.5% less than the requested salary. The requested salary is also consistent with the salaries of other managers at R11 in the department.

CAO recommends the Board approve the promotional salary increase.

Example #2

Employee B is promoted from an R13 to R14. The department submits a request for Board approval of a promotional salary increase greater than 10% below the control point of the new salary range. Employee B's current salary is \$89,815. An 11% salary adjustment is requested increasing the salary to \$99,694, which is below the control point of the new salary range. Because the requested increase is in excess of 10%, it must be approved by the Board even though it is below the Control Point. The requested increase is not supported by analysis of the salaries of subordinates or the salaries of other R14 managers in the department.

CAO does not recommend the promotional salary increase to the Board of Supervisors and returns request to department. The Department can either withdraw the request and take no further action or file the request on the Board agenda for Board approval.

h:mgmtpos